

HAMPSTEAD HEATH, HIGHGATE WOOD AND QUEEN'S PARK COMMITTEE
Wednesday, 16 July 2025

Minutes of the meeting of the Hampstead Heath, Highgate Wood and Queen's Park
Committee held at Committee Rooms - 2nd Floor West Wing, Guildhall on
Wednesday, 16 July 2025 at 4.00 pm

Present

Members:

William Upton KC (Deputy Chairman)
Councillor Marcus Boyland
Deputy Timothy Butcher
Simon Burrows
Alderman Alison Gowman CBE
Jason Groves
Pauline Lobo
Councillor Arjun Mittra

In attendance:

James St John Davis (Ex-Officio) - attended virtually
Karina Dostalova - attended virtually
Alethea Silk - attended virtually
John Beyer - attended virtually

Officers:

Simon Owen	- Chamberlain's Department
Niranjan Shanmuganathan	- Chamberlain's Department
Jack Joslin	- City Bridge Foundation
Edward Wood	- Comptroller and City Solicitor's Department
Katie Stewart	- Executive Director, Environment
Emily Brennan	- Environment Department
Jo Hurst	- Environment Department
Andrew Impey	- Environment Department
William LoSasso	- Environment Department
Jonathan Meares	- Environment Department
Charlotte Williams	- Environment Department
Zoe Williams	- Town Clerk's Department

1. APOLOGIES

Apologies were received from Alderman & Sheriff Gregory Jones and Edward Lord.

In the Chairman's absence, the Deputy Chairman took the Chair.

2. **MEMBERS DECLARATIONS UNDER THE CODE OF CONDUCT IN RESPECT OF ITEMS ON THIS AGENDA**

No declarations of interest were received.

3. **MINUTES**

a) **Draft minutes of the Hampstead Heath, Highgate Wood and Queen's Park Committee**

RESOLVED – That, the public minutes and non-public summary of the previous Hampstead Heath, Highgate Wood and Queen's Park Committee meeting held on 20 May 2025 be approved as an accurate record.

Matters arising

A Member raised a query regarding the Hampstead Heath Ponds item listed for discussion in the non-public session of the agenda.

Officers confirmed that the final decision lay with Members, and the report had been made non-public in order to keep that option open to Members. They explained that it would be possible to conduct part of the discussion in public session, so long as Members were mindful of the need to move into non-public session as appropriate during the debate. This would be addressed later in the meeting, under item 17.

b) **Draft minutes of the Hampstead Heath Consultative Committee**

RESOLVED – That, the public minutes and non-public summary of the Hampstead Heath Consultative Committee meetings held on Tuesday 29 April 2025 and Tuesday 17 June 2025 be noted.

c) **Draft minutes of the Highgate Wood Consultative Group**

RESOLVED – That, the draft minutes of the Highgate Wood Consultative Group meeting held on Tuesday 22 April 2025 be noted.

d) **Draft Minutes of the Queen's Park Consultative Group**

RESOLVED – That, the draft minutes of the Queen's Park Consultative Groups meeting held on Wednesday 14 May 2025 be noted.

Matters arising

A Member asked whether residents in the Queen's Park Consultative Group were supportive of the proposed Splash Pad. Officers confirmed that the Members of the Consultative Group and the constituencies that they represented were supportive of the new water play feature. They noted that they would be setting up some meetings to initiate the community fundraising campaign in the near future.

Another Member sought confirmation on whether it was clear how the Splash Pad would be funded. Officers responded that the majority of funding had been identified through the charity. They noted that they would seek to fundraise the remaining £98,000, and the project would not begin until that money had been raised. They noted that as the Member suggested, they

would also be applying for funding through the HS2 Grant if they were eligible.

A Member raised a question about whether there had been any developments to the timetable for the remarketing of the Café. The Chairman noted that this would be discussed during the verbal update.

4. RISK MANAGEMENT UPDATE

The Committee considered a report of the Executive Director, Environment which sought to provide the Committee with assurance that the risk management procedures in place within the Environment Department and its Natural Environment Division were satisfactory and met the requirements of the Corporate Risk Management Framework and the Charities Act 2011.

A Member requested that Officers provided further information regarding the amber risk of the outbreak of fire in Woodland/Heathland, given the current increase in temperatures. Officers explained that over recent months they had implemented a fire safety training programme. This had focused on fire management strategies, supporting the fire brigade, and managing smaller-scale fires. They noted that the Climate Action Strategy had funded this training and provided the team with advanced fire extinguishing technology.

A Member asked whether there was a risk to the Pergola from the current increased temperatures. Officers responded that while the structure was exposed to both extreme heat and cold, it was not considered to be at risk of fire. They noted that, following a conditions survey conducted last year, a series of tilt monitors and crack gauges had been installed throughout the Pergola. These devices provide live data updates on the structural condition, enabling ongoing monitoring and assessment.

RESOLVED – That, Members confirmed, on behalf of the City Corporation as Trustee, that the Summary Risk Registers appended to the report satisfactorily identified the key risks to each of the charities and that appropriate risk management processes were in place.

5. NATURAL ENVIRONMENT CHARITY REVIEW – UPDATE ON FUNDRAISING WORKSTREAMS

The Committee received a report of the Chamberlain and the Executive Director, Environment which detailed the progress made since 2024 and the next stages of the workplan.

RESOLVED – That, Members:

- Noted the report and its contents.
- Noted the two planned briefing sessions for all interested Members on Biodiversity Net Gain potential at Natural Environment sites, and fundraising opportunities and resourcing for the Natural Environment Charities.

Hampstead Heath

6. ASSISTANT DIRECTOR'S REPORT (HAMPSTEAD HEATH)

The Committee received a report of the Executive Director, Environment which provided Members with an update on matters relating to Hampstead Heath since the last meeting of the Hampstead Heath Consultative Committee and the last meeting of the Hampstead Heath, Highgate Wood, and Queen's Park Committee.

Officers announced that North London Open Spaces was recently awarded eight Green Flag and Green Heritage Awards. Officers and Members commended staff for this achievement.

In relation to the Affordable Art Fair, a Member enquired whether the hirer would consider hosting a second event to make use of the existing infrastructure. Officers acknowledged the potential opportunity and confirmed that this would be taken into consideration for the following year with the hirer. Officers noted that they would update the Committee to any developments in this area. In response to a further question, Officers responded that as the hirer, it would typically be the responsibility of the Affordable Art Fair to find a second event for their space. However, as the opportunity would also benefit the Hampstead Heath Charity, they were working collaboratively on this matter and welcomed input from the City Corporation.

A Member asked how Officers would ensure that the City Surveyor's Department were progressing with the work identified in the Cyclical Works Programme. Officers responded that North London Open Spaces were holding monthly meetings with the City Surveyor's Department to identify projects, make any necessary delegations, discuss priorities and minimise operational disruption.

Another Member thanked the City Surveyor's Department for the funding that was being directed towards these works and noted that the City Corporation should use this to generate good publicity.

The Chairman asked Officers what further work was required to complete the Ponds access project. Officers confirmed that they were awaiting contractors to install the sliding door at the Men's Pond.

The Chairman also asked for an update on the work at the cottages and lodges on Hampstead Heath. Officers confirmed that initial works at the Lodge at Parliament Hill were scheduled to commence in September 2025. They further reported that the final stages of work at the Lodge in Golders Hill Park were expected to be completed within the week. Additionally, they anticipated that works at Kenwood Cottage would be concluded in August 2025.

In response to a query regarding the timeline for the Golders Hill Park Sand Pit project, Officers advised that they were awaiting a legal agreement from Officers at the London Borough of Barnet. In the meantime, Officers confirmed that they were advancing through the procurement process and preparing for a closed tender. They noted that, due to timing constraints, it may not be possible to appoint a contractor to carry out the works during the summer months. However, they emphasised their commitment to ensuring the project was completed as swiftly as possible.

A Member expressed disappointment that the central heating system in the Education Centre had broken and requested an update on the timeline for its repair. Officers confirmed that the heating system had since been fixed. The Member also raised concerns about littering at Hampstead Heath and questioned whether sufficient measures were in place to address the issue. Officers responded that littering was a priority within the annual Communications Plan and that discussions were ongoing regarding the potential effectiveness of additional signage. They explained that Rangers within the Constabulary actively engaged with visitors and encouraged them to take their litter home, highlighting the environmental impact of leaving waste behind. The Member offered to facilitate discussions between Officers at the City Corporation and those at the London Borough of Camden to explore collaborative approaches to signage and public engagement around litter prevention.

RESOLVED – That, Members noted the report and its contents.

7. HEATH HANDS UPDATE

The Committee received a report of Heath Hands which summarised the charity's main activities on North London Open Spaces from 1 to 30 April 2025.

Members and Officers commended Heath Hands for the helpful contributions of their volunteers and their collaboration with the City Corporation.

RESOLVED – That, Members noted the report and its contents.

8. HAMPSTEAD HEATH REVENUE OUTTURN 2024/25

The Committee received a report of the Chamberlain and Executive Director, Environment which compared the revenue outturn for the services overseen by the Committee in 2024/25 with the budget for the year.

A Member asked how the 2025/26 estimates compared with the 2024/25 revenue outturn. Officers responded that the investment income realised in 2024/25 was £1.39 million, and the budget applied for 2025/26 was £1.437 million, based on a new calculation and methodology.

A Member requested that Officers provide a summary of how the budget had evolved over the past few years, along with a forecast for future years. Officers responded that a direct year-on-year comparison may not be feasible due to the transition to a Grant Funding Model in upcoming years. They noted that they would consider how best to present this information. Officers confirmed that they would undertake a summary analysis of estimates reports from recent years and present this at the next meeting. They noted that significant variations in previous years may be attributed to changes in the Cyclical Works Programme, depreciation, and investment income.

RESOLVED – That, Members noted the report and its contents.

9. NATURAL ENVIRONMENT CHARITY REVIEW – ASSETS UPDATE FOR HAMPSTEAD HEATH CHARITY

The Committee received a report of the Chamberlain and Executive Director, Environment which summarised the processes used to audit the land assets held by the City Corporation as trustee of the Hampstead Heath charity.

RESOLVED – That, Members:

- Noted the audit process undertaken for the land assets of the Hampstead Heath charity and the steps to maintain the Asset Register.
- Noted the list of charity land assets of the Hampstead Heath charity included in the appendix of the report.

10. HAMPSTEAD HEATH CAFES

The Committee received a verbal update about the marketing of the Hampstead Heath Cafes. Officers explained that the Hampstead Heath, Highgate Wood and Queen's Park Cafes were all being remarketed and were currently being publicly advertised.

A Member requested that a timeline be provided on the expected process for the remarketing of the leases. Officers noted that an update on this would be provided in non-public session.

A Member queried how scoring would be weighted in lease applications, particularly in cases where a proposal included physical upgrades to the site. The Chairman sought clarity on what extent the Committee would be engaged in the process. Officers responded that they would review the submissions and provide recommendations to the Committee. They noted that, should any matters arise beyond their authority, they would return to the Committee for further direction. Officers also acknowledged that both Members and Officers were likely to receive enquiries from interested parties and confirmed that the City Corporation's response would be shared with Members accordingly.

A Member asked whether the current café operators had been informed about the remarketing. Officers confirmed that the marketing materials had been shared with the existing operators and that they had been encouraged to participate in the process should they wish to do so.

RESOLVED – That, Members noted the verbal update.

11. GRANT FUNDING MODEL UPDATE

The Committee received the presentation which provided an update on the Grant Funding Model that the Hampstead Heath Consultative Committee received at its previous meeting.

RESOLVED – That, Members noted the presentation.

Highgate Wood & Queen's Park

12. ASSISTANT DIRECTOR'S REPORT (HIGHGATE WOOD)

The Committee received a report of the Executive Director, Environment which provided an update on matters relating to Highgate Wood since its last meeting.

Officers noted that work was ongoing to develop the information centre at Highgate Wood to accommodate the newly installed Roman Kiln. They reported that the quotes received from the contractors approached were beyond the

available budget but confirmed that efforts would continue to identify a contractor capable of delivering the project within budget.

A Member highlighted the information centre's proximity to the café and suggested that opportunities arising from the café remarketing should be considered. Officers noted they would take this into consideration. The Member also expressed frustration that concerns had previously been raised about installing the Roman Kiln within the existing building when the project was initiated some years ago, and that the decision to proceed had now resulted in additional costs.

The Chairman acknowledged the achievement of Highgate Wood receiving a Green Flag Award, noting that the site had earned this recognition every year since the award was introduced.

RESOLVED – That, Members noted the report and its contents.

13. ASSISTANT DIRECTOR'S REPORT (QUEEN'S PARK)

The Committee received a report of the Executive Director, Environment which provided an update on matters relating to Queen's Park since its last meeting.

A Member raised that they had been contacted by residents regarding the increased charges to hire the park for Queen's Park Day, which was run by the Queen's Park Residents Association. They noted concern that charities would consider not participating in the day if the charges resulted in them losing income. Officers responded that they were in active conversation with the Queen's Park Residents Association and confirmed that the 2025 Queen's Park Day would still be taking place. They explained that the charges had increased as they had previously been at an artificially low level for years and they needed to ensure they were recovering their fees in an appropriate way. They noted that they would continue to be in conversation with the Queen's Park Residents Association about this as they would like to ensure that Queen's Park Day could continue for years to come.

The Chairman also acknowledged the achievement of Queen's Park receiving a Green Flag Award.

RESOLVED – That, Members noted the report and its contents.

14. HIGHGATE WOOD AND QUEEN'S PARK REVENUE OUTTURN 2024/25

The Committee received a report of the Chamberlain and Executive Director, Environment which compared the revenue outturn for the services overseen by the Committee in 2024/25 with the budget for the year.

A Member requested that Officers provide a summary of how the budget had evolved over the past few years, along with a forecast for future years.

RESOLVED – That, Members noted the report and its contents.

15. NATURAL ENVIRONMENT CHARITY REVIEW – ASSETS UPDATE FOR HIGHGATE WOOD AND QUEEN'S PARK CHARITY

The Committee received a report of the Chamberlain and Executive Director, Environment which summarised the processes used to audit the land assets held by the City Corporation as trustee of the Highgate Wood and Queen's Park Charity.

RESOLVED – That, Members:

- Noted the audit process undertaken for the land assets of the Highgate Wood and Queen's Park charity and the steps to maintain the Asset Register.
- Noted the list of charity land assets of the Highgate Wood and Queen's Park charity included in the appendix to this report.

16. **QUESTIONS ON MATTERS RELATING TO THE WORK OF THE COMMITTEE**
There were no questions raised.

17. **ANY OTHER BUSINESS THAT THE CHAIRMAN CONSIDERS URGENT**
RESOLVED – That, the report on the Hampstead Heath Ponds Consultation be considered in public session.
RESOLVED – That, a public version of the Hampstead Heath Ponds Consultation report be made available after the meeting.

Hampstead Heath Ponds Consultation

The Committee considered a report that sought authority to conduct a consultation exercise on the access arrangements for the Men's and Ladies' Ponds at Hampstead Heath.

The Executive Director of Environment advised that an overview of the report and its recommendations could be discussed in public session. However, any detailed questions relating to budget, legal advice from the Comptroller and City Solicitor's Department, or input from consultation providers should be addressed in non-public session. Members were supportive of this approach.

The Chairman raised concern that the phrasing of the first recommendation limited the consultation to the Men's and Ladies' ponds and excluded the Mixed pond. Other Members expressed their agreement on this matter. Officers explained that the access arrangements for the Men's and Ladies' Ponds were the focus of national attention following the Supreme Court judgment, and that the Mixed Pond did not raise the same specific issues. However, they were anticipating that questions would be posed and comments received on the operation and use of the Mixed Pond as part of the consultation exercise. They noted that initial work had begun on drafting the consultation questions, but these were still at a formative stage.

Officers clarified that the second recommendation would authorise the Executive Director of Environment to finalise the questions, in consultation with the Chair and Deputy Chair of the Committee and others. It was further noted that the Chair and Deputy Chair would have the opportunity to engage with the whole Committee to gather input on the consultation questions.

A Member welcomed the decision to appoint an external supplier to lead the consultation. They recommended that Officers review previous consultations and consider contacting those consultants who had delivered successful outcomes in the past. The Member also emphasised the importance of the Committee having a clear understanding of the process timeline, noting that it would need to operate within the parameters of the Committee cycle. Additionally, they noted that it would be helpful for the Committee to receive assurance regarding the clarity of the consultation questions.

Another Member sought clarification on whether the scope of the consultation would affect who would be involved in the consultation, or nature of the questions asked. The Executive Director of Environment clarified that it would be an open consultation as they wanted to give all audiences the opportunity to engage in it. Regarding the scope of the consultation, the Executive Director commented that the intention was to focus the remit of the consultation on the Men's and Ladies' Ponds as these were where a review of existing policies was most needed.

Another Member emphasised the importance of identifying pond users within the consultation, noting that it was essential to hear directly from those most affected by the outcomes of the process. Officers acknowledged the Members comment and noted that while they expected to receive a large volume of responses on the consultation, the potential consultation providers had assured them that there were robust ways to filter responses to identify where they were coming from and who was responding to the consultation. They noted that this would enable Members to distinguish feedback from the wider consultation respondents, as well as those that use the ponds.

A Member asked whether it would be possible to send the consultation to those who frequently purchase passes to use the ponds. The Chairman asked to what extent existing purchase records could be used to identify pond users in the consultation, or whether there was a plan to conduct a targeted consultation with the specific pond users. Officers responded that these matters were being actively considered. They noted that while the consultation could not just be limited to pond users, because it would also need to capture the views of people who might be self-excluding, they did intend to highlight those responses in the consultation report provided to Members on conclusion of the exercise.

A Member highlighted the importance of gathering both qualitative and quantitative data through the consultation process. In response, the Executive Director acknowledged this and confirmed that the consultation methodology would be shared with Members in due course. They assured the Committee that the consultation would not be a tick-box exercise, and that efforts would be made to achieve the right balance between qualitative and quantitative information. The Member further suggested that the Committee should consider whether the public should be re-consulted on the final proposals. The Executive Director responded that this would depend on the outcome of the initial consultation, and that once the responses had been analysed, the next steps could be considered. The Chairman summarised that it remained uncertain at this stage whether a

two-stage consultation would be required, and the approach would be reviewed in due course.

In response to Member's earlier comments about making use of past consultations, the Executive Director highlighted that they appreciated Member's previous experiences of consultations and would be making use of this knowledge while developing the consultation. They assured Members that they would be informally seeking views from the Hampstead Heath, Highgate Wood & Queen's Park Committee; the Policy & Resources Committee; the Equality, Diversity & Inclusion Sub-Committee, and the Hampstead Heath Consultative Committee.

Regarding the timeline of the consultation, the Executive Director confirmed that a minimum duration of six weeks was being considered. They noted that the full timescale of the process needed to be determined in consultation with the Chair and Deputy Chair of the relevant committees. The Executive Director acknowledged the level of scrutiny surrounding the consultation and emphasised the importance of balancing the need for timely progress with the requirement for a robust and thorough process.

A Member asked Officers which future meeting of the Committee they anticipated would consider the final proposals. The Executive Director noted that while they could not yet confirm the timeline for this, there could be an additional Committee meeting held to consider this matter as they did not want the process to be bound by the existing Committee schedule. They noted that this could be further discussed in non-public session.

Another Member noted their support for the approach of ensuring the process was carried out properly, while being conscious of the time pressure of the matter. The Member also sought clarity that the final set of questions in the consultation would be developed by the external supplier, with input from Officers. Officers confirmed that while they had started to think about potential questions, the external consultants would be asked to fully develop the consultation materials. The final questions would also need to be approved by leading counsel.

In response to clarity sought about the inclusion of the Mixed Pond in the consultation, Officers explained that while some access arrangements, such as opening hours, could be considered as part of the consultation, there were no plans to change the admissions policy for the Mixed Pond, as all were welcome.

Regarding the matter of the pre-action protocol letters that had been received, Officers noted that they had received a follow-up letter from the solicitors acting for Sex Matters. They explained that Sex Matters were renewing their demand for the current trans-inclusive policy at the Ladies' Pond to be withdrawn by Friday 18 July, noting that otherwise a judicial review claim would be issued, and interim relief would be sought. Officers advised against withdrawing the current policy at this stage and stated that they did not believe that an interim application would be successful. They cautioned against acting prematurely, emphasising

the importance of gathering all relevant information before making a decision, particularly as there had been no substantiated problems with the current policy. A Member commented that changing the policy without the necessary consultation could also expose the process to further risk of judicial review, as there would be no substantiated basis for such changes. Officers agreed that the City Corporation would potentially be exchanging one claimant for another.

A Member sought assurance that provisions were in place to support Officers on Hampstead Heath that were involved in operations with the ponds. The Executive Director noted that they were working to ensure the staff had the support they needed, and this would be an evolving matter throughout the process. They noted that they would continue to check in with the staff, and that working with the Committee was critical to ensure they could continue providing staff with the support that was required. Members assured Officers that they would have their support on this matter. Officers added that they had recently implemented a more robust reporting mechanism to report antisocial behaviour from members of the public and they would encourage staff to use that process. In response to a query from another Member, the Executive Director assured the committee that lifeguards were a main consideration in the support that was being provided to front-line staff.

The Chairman moved that the first recommendation be amended to include the access arrangement for the Mixed Pond in the scope of the consultation. The Committee unanimously supported the amendment.

A Member requested clarification on how the Equality, Diversity and Inclusion (EDI) Sub-Committee would be involved in the consultation process. The Executive Director explained that the outcomes of the consultation would be presented to the EDI Sub-Committee for input prior to being considered by the decision-making Committees. They also noted that informal input as to the consultation itself would be sought from the EDI Sub-Committee, the Policy & Resources Committee, and the Hampstead Heath, Highgate Wood and Queen's Park (HHHWQP) Committee in the coming weeks. The Member expressed concern that involving multiple Committees could delay the process and queried whether the HHHWQP Committee should act as the sole decision-maker. The Executive Director explained that the Policy & Resources Committee was involved in the process as its terms of reference included matters of policy and strategic importance, as well as policies and practices in respect of equality diversity and inclusion, with the EDI Sub-Committee reporting to it.

A Member requested that the final consultation questions be circulated to Members once they had been confirmed. The Executive Director of Environment confirmed they were aiming to gather informal feedback from the relevant Committees on the content of the consultation, and the questions would then be finalised with the consultation providers. They assured Members that they would also seek comments from Members on the final programme for the consultation.

RESOLVED – That, Members agreed that a consultation exercise on the access arrangements for the Men's, Ladies' and Mixed Ponds should be carried out.

RESOLVED – That, Members authorised the Executive Director of Environment, in consultation with the Comptroller and City Solicitor, as well as with the Chairs and Deputy Chairs of both the Hampstead Heath, Highgate Wood and Queens Park Committee and the Policy and Resources Committee, to:

- a. Finalise the consultation questions and materials.
- b. Finalise the consultation programme, including timescales.

RESOLVED – That, Members agreed that the current access arrangements remain unchanged pending the carrying out of the consultation exercise and consideration of its product by this Committee and the Policy and Resources Committee in due course.

The Committee agreed to discuss the remaining recommendation in non-public session.

18. **EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC**

RESOLVED – That, the following matters relate to business under the remit of the Court of Common Council acting for the City Corporation as charity Trustee, to which Part VA and Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 public access to meetings provisions do not apply. The following items contain sensitive information which it is not in the best interests of the charity to consider in a public meeting (engaging similar considerations as under paragraphs 3 and 5 of Schedule 12A of the 1972 Act) and will be considered in non-public session.

The Committee agreed to consider the Hampstead Heath Ponds Consultation before the Non-Public Minutes.

19. **NON-PUBLIC MINUTES**

- a) **Draft Non-Public Minutes of the Hampstead Heath, Highgate Wood And Queen's Park Committee**

RESOLVED – That, the non-public minutes of the previous meeting held on 14 January 2025 be agreed as a correct record of the meeting.

- b) **Draft Non-Public Minutes of the Hampstead Heath Consultative Committee**

RESOLVED – That, the non-public minutes of the Hampstead Heath Consultative Committee were noted.

20. **HAMPSTEAD HEATH PONDS CONSULTATION**

The Committee considered a report of the Executive Director, Environment.

21. **CITY CORPORATION GOVERNANCE OF THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT CHARITIES – UPDATE ON PLANNED CHANGES**

The Committee received a report of the Chamberlain and Executive Director, Environment.

22. **COMPLEMENTARY LAND POLICY AND ASSETS APPRAISAL**

The Committee received a verbal update from Officers of the Environment Department.

23. QUESTIONS ON MATTERS RELATING TO THE WORK OF THE COMMITTEE

There were three questions raised in non-public.

24. ANY OTHER BUSINESS THAT THE CHAIRMAN CONSIDERS URGENT AND WHICH THE COMMITTEE AGREE SHOULD BE CONSIDERED WHILST THE PUBLIC ARE EXCLUDED

There was no urgent business discussed in non-public.

The meeting ended at 6.35pm.

Chairman

**Contact Officer: Zoe Williams
Zoe.Williams@cityoflondon.gov.uk**